

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/699,732	10/30/2000	Michael J. Hearn	5143	6916	
7590 10/10/2003 Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens, LLP Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street			EXAMINER		
			ROBINSON, BINTA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA	02110		1625	/)	
,			DATE MAILED: 10/10/20	(/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/699,732	HEARN, MICHAEL	HEARN, MICHAEL J.			
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Binta M. Robinson	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply secified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Posponoivo to communication(s) filed on						
1)∐ 2a)∐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	— · is action is non-final.					
3)□	,—		ers prosecution as to the	merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 17 and 24-27 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,					
-	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>25-27</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All · b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftspérson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s formal Patent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 09/699,732 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

Detailed Action

The Final Rejection at paper no. 15 is withdrawn in light of discovery of a new 103 (a) reference. The 112, first paragraph rejections of claims 17 and 24-27, the 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 17, 24, 25, 26, and 27 are withdrawn in light of applicant's remarks and amendment at paper no. 16c.

(new rejection)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ninomiya et. al.. (See Reference A).

Ninomiya et. al. teaches the process of preparing 4-Pyridinecarboxlyic acid which comprises refluxing 4-pyrdinecarboxylic acid, hydrazide with ethanol to produce a solution with an acyl compound to obtain obtain the product. The difference between the prior art process and the Ninomiya process is the teaching of the production of a mole ratio of the carbonyl compound to the final product compound, 4-Pyridinecarboxlyic acid in the instant process and not in the Ninomya process. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to synthesize 4-Pyridinecarboxylic acid in a mole ration of 1.00 to 1.67 to the carbonyl compound. Accordingly, the

Application/Control Number: 09/699,732

Art Unit: 1625

compounds are deemed unpatentable therefrom in the absence of a showing of unexpected chemical results for the claimed process.

Claims 25-27 are objected to because they are based on a rejected claim.

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binta M. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on (703)308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7922 for regular communications and (703)308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0193.

Binta Robinson

October 7 2003

ALAN L. ROTMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Clan L Rotman